Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/513,439	AMBROZIAK, JACEK R.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jonathan D. Schlaifer	2178
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Jonathan D. Schlaifer</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Edward Grundler</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>"/////0</u> 4	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1,11,21		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Possible 112 indefiniteness issue related to line 11 of claim 1, "can be" was to be amended to "are" and analogous changes were to be made in claims 11 and 21.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
heatten Alleins		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		